

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.msyolo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/733,691	12/07/2000	Jeffrey D. Carnevali	1361040-2002.1	3233	
20999 7590 02/29/2012 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AV	ENUE- 10TH FL.		BAXTER, GWENDOLYN WRENN		
NEW YORK, I	NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3632		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/29/2012	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/733,691	CARNEVALI, JEFFREY D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gwendolyn Baxter	3632		

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gwendolyn Baxter	3632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13 - The provision of the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13 - The provision of the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13 - The provision of the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13 - The provision of the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13 - The provision of the provision of 18 CPR 1.13 - The provision of the provision of 18 CPR 1.13 -	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim (1) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 № 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ An election was made by the applicant in response.	action is non-final.	set forth during the	e interview on				
; the restriction requirement and election	have been incorporated into this	action.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
5) ◯ Claim(s) <u>43-45.50.51.59.61-63.67-75.82-87 an</u> 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>43-45.50.51.59.61-63.67-75.82-87 an</u> 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. d 91-94 is/are rejected.	dication.					
Application Papers							
10)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 12)☑ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati- ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(a)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information-Disclosure-Statement(s) (PTO-S3CC)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate					

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S3/05)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: .	

Art Unit: 3632

This is in response to the amendment filed November 19, 2009 for serial number 09/733,691, Universally Positionable Mounting Device, filed on December 7, 2000.

Claims 43-45, 50, 51, 59, 61-63, 67-75, 82-87 and 91-94 are pending.

Double Patenting

The terminal disclosure filed November 19, 2009 has been approved.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: since applicant has filed more than one application for the reissue of a single patent, each such application must contain or be amended to contain in the first sentence of the specification a notice stating that more than one reissue application has been filed and identifying each of the reissue applications by relationship, application number and filing date. An example of the modified insertion is provided below.

More than one reissue application has been filed for the reissue Patent No. 9,999,999. The reissue applications are application numbers 09/999,994. (the present application), and 09/999.998, which is a continuation reissue of Patent No. 9,999,999.

Design Application 29/370,076 has been omitted from the amended specification filed October 5, 2007. Column 1, line 6 should read as follows:

Notice: More than one reissue application has been filed for the reissue of U.S.

Patent No. 5,845,885. The reissue applications are application numbers 09/733,691

Art Unit: 3632

(the present application), Design Patent Application No. 29/370,076 (which is a continuation of 09/733,691), 10/300,951 (which is a continuation of 09/733,691), and 10/991,325 (which is a divisional of 09/733.691).

Please note: This modified insertion must be totally underlined. See 37 CFR 1.173. 2. A supplemental declaration will be required to address this change.

Appropriate correction is required. See MPEP 1451.

Recapture

Claims 43-45, 50, 51, 59, 61-63, 67-75, 82-87, and 91-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See Pannu v. Storz Instruments Inc., 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 2001); HesterIndustries, Inc. v. Stein, Inc., 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Clement, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); Ball Corp. v. United States, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir.1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue, which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the

Art Unit: 3632

application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

Applicant has provided reissue claims, which are broader than the patented claims, and omits limitations that were added and argued during the original prosecution of the patent to overcome an art rejection(s). Therefore, such an omission in a reissue claim is impermissible recapture. For instance, the surrendered limitations of patent claim 30, "the bifurcated arm assembly" have been omitted from reissued claims 43, 50, 59, 61, 63 and 70, respectively.

Claims 91 and 94 refers to original patent application claim 40, wherein this claim depends on claim 38. Applicant has provided claims 91 and 94 which is a listing of limitations that are broadened recitations and appear to be very different from that recited in the original patent application claims. These claims are as broad as or broader in an aspect germane to a prior art rejection, but narrower in another aspect completely unrelated to the rejection, the recapture rule bars the claims. The subject matter surrendered is the subject matter of an application which was amended and, on a limitation-by-limitation basis, the territory falling between the scope of the application claim which was amended

The amendment filed May 19, 1997, the amended original patent claims 38, set forth amended limitations (underlined) which overcame the rejection under 35 U.S.C. Section 102(b), however, fail to preclude a 112 issue set forth therein. The patentee originally claimed the subject matter alleged to infringe but then narrowed the claim in

Art Unit: 3632

response to a rejection, he may not argue that surrendered territory. Additionally, the modifications made in the response filed in March 18, 1998 which have overcome the 112 issues should be considered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 19, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The modified portions appear to be materially different from that of the surrendered limitations and must be corrected.

Remarks

Claim 43, line 5, "first and second arm sections" should read -a bifurcated arm assembly- and line 10, "arm sections" should read -the bifurcated arm assembly-.

Claim 50, line 6, "respective rigid arm sections" should read –a bifurcated arm assembly- and line 10, "the rigid arm sections" should read –the bifurcated arm assembly-.

Claim 59, line 6, "an arm assembly" should read –a bifurcated arm assemblyand line 11, "the arm assembly" should read –the bifurcated arm assembly-.

Claim 61, line 5, "an arm assembly" should read —a bifurcated arm assemblyand line 9, "the arm assembly" should read —the bifurcated arm assembly-.

Claim 63, line 6, "a split arm assembly" should read –a bifurcated arm assemblyand line 12, "the split arm assembly" should read –the bifurcated arm assembly-.

Art Unit: 3632

Claim 70, line 6, "a plurality of arm sections, at least two of the arm sections" should read –a bifurcated arm assembly of the arm assembly- and line 10, "the arm sections" should read –the bifurcated arm assembly-.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn Baxter whose telephone number is (571)272-6814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrell McKinnon can be reached on 571-272-4797. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3632

/Gwendolyn Baxter/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3632

February 17, 2012